

### **Propositions of writing**

Papers should be written in MS Word, font Times New Roman 10, paper format A4.

Margins - left and right 40mm, top and bottom 53mm.

Paper - maximum 10 pages, single spacing.

Title - maximum 80 characters (with spaces).

Summary – maximum 1.500 characters (with spaces).

Key words – 3 to 5, one line below Summary.

TITLE OF PAPER

Wayne ROONEY<sup>1\*</sup>, Rayan GIGSS<sup>1</sup>, David D. GEA<sup>2</sup>

*\*E-mail of corresponding author: wrooney@manun.uk*

<sup>1</sup> *Department, Institution, Address, Country*

<sup>2</sup> *Different Department, Institution, Address, Country*

For original scientific papers the text should be divided in sections:

#### **Summary**

Authors should indicate the research topic and the main conclusion.

#### **Introduction**

Short overview which explained the reasons for the research of the paper. In the all paper citations, the literature (authors) as follows: (Kross, 2017) – one author; (Kross and Modrić, 2017) – two authors and (Kross et al., 2017) three or more authors.

Frequent self-citations are not a desirable form of writing.

## **Materials and methods**

It is necessary to provide information on the material (e.g. origin, technical i physical properties).

All used agricultural techniques as well as devices and instruments should state the name of the producer, the model, the year and the country of origin.

All conducted research should include methods on which the results are obtained.

All units should be written e.g.:  $m s^{-1}$ ,  $km h^{-1}$ ,  $MJ kg^{-1}$

## **Results and discussion**

Titles of tables and figures should be written as plain text above tables and below figures.

Table xx. Caption


Figures, photos, charts and tables should be incorporated in the text but figures, photos, charts and tables should be also send as separate files in original programs that they are made (Excel, CorelDraw, Adobe Photoshop, etc.).

The discussion should not be merely repetition of the obtained results. Authors should compare their own data with data obtained in relevant scientific literature.

## **Conclusions**

The most important thesis already stated in the previous sections.

## **Acknowledgements (if any)**

## References

Literature should be listed in alphabetical order as plain text:

### Journal article

Johansson, K., Kennedy, B. W., Quintom, M. (1993). Prediction of breeding values and dominance effects from mixed models with approximations of the dominance relationship matrix. *Livest Prod Sci* 34: 213-223

### Congress article

Uimari, P., Kennedy B.W. (1990). Mixed model methodology to estimate additive and dominance genetic values under complete dominance. In: Hill WG, Thompson R, Wooliams JA (eds) *Proc 4th World Congr Genet Appl Livestock Prod*, vol 13. Edinburgh, Scotland, pp 297-300

### Book and chapter from book

Kempthorne, O. (1957). *An introduction to genetic statistics*. John Wiley and Sons, New York

Kennedy, B. W. (1990). Use of mixed model methodology in analysis of designed experiments. In: *Advances in Statistical Methods for Genetic Improvement of Livestock* (D Gianola, K Hammond, eds), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 77-97

### PhD and MSc theses

Rooney W. (2005). The name of the theses. Doctoral theses. institution, country.

The Scientific Committee will also take into consideration the preliminary communications, review and expert papers.

**Authors can send their papers as attached files via e-mail to following address:**

[atae@agr.hr](mailto:atae@agr.hr)